<u>COVER</u>

SHEET

**FAX** 

TO:

Examiner Willmon Fridie, Jr.

USPTO Group 7343 P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 1 2 2005

Telephone: (571) 272-4476 Facsimile: (703) 872-9306

Pages: 9 (including this cover sheet)

July 12, 2005

Re:

USSN 09/213,169

Filed: December 17, 1998

Docket: 78685F-P

Dear Examiner Fridie, Jr.:

Faxed herewith is a copy of the Notice of Appeal that was timely mailed on September 16, 2003, which included an authorization to charge any fees to applicants' deposit account. The USPTO acknowledged receipt of the Notice of Allowance as indicated in the Advisory Action (mailed September 30, 2003) on September 22, 2003 and also indicated in the 'ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO Examiner'. Applicants hereby request that the appropriate fee be charged to applicants' deposit account and the Appeal be docketed. Per Examiner Fridie, Jr.'s request, a copy of the communication from the Board of Appeals entitled 'ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER is also included.

From the desk of ...

F-P:diw

Frank Pincelli, Esq.
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY

343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201 Phone: (585) 588-2728 Fax: (585) 477-4646 F-P

## THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

EASTMAN KODAK OD.

JUN - 7 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT LEGAL STAFF

and the second second

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte JOHN R. FREDLUND, JOSEPH A. MANICO and RICHARD G. MACKSON JUN 3 - 2005

SOURCE OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

Application No. 09/213,169

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on April 7, 2005. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

On September 22, 2003, appellants filed a Notice of Appeal A review of the file reveals that the fee was not charged for the Notice of Appeal. Before further review of this file, the above mentioned fee must be applied to the appellants' account.

Application No. 09/213,169

Page 2

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application be returned to the Examiner for entry of the Notice of Appeal fee, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of this appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

₿y:

CRAIG FEINBERG

Program and Resource Administrator

(571) 272-9797

CF/dal

Application No. 09/213,169

Page 3

PATENT LEGAL STAFF EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY 343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER NY 14650-2201



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FUR PATENTS FO. Data 1450 Assaudia, highest 22:13-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/213.169	12/17/1998	JOHN R. FREDLUND	78685F-P	7343
1333	7590 09/30/2003			
PATENT LE	GAL STAFF	A R T O O 0000	EXAM	NER
343 STATE S		OCT 0 3 2003	FRIDIE JR, WILLMON	
ROCHESTER	, NY 14650-2201	PATENT LEGAL STAFF	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	1

affered by GLB

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examinat State 4476

Part of Paper No. 20

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/213,169	FREDLUND ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Willmon Fridie, Jr.	3722
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The definate been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe are on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distantory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 September 200</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	3. Appellant's Brief must be file FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	d within the period set forth in of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying th
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendmen
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §	or reconsideration has been cor see Continuation Sheet.	nsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims of the proposed amendment of the propose	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)  will be entered and an elow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10. Other:		
		Willmon Fridie, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3722
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Advi	sory Action	Part of Pager No. 2

Advisory Action

07/12/2005 21:10

585-477-4646

EASTMAN KODAK PATENT

PAGE 07/09

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 29/213,169

Application No.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: THE COMENNATION OF MANICO IN VIEW OF SHIOTA CLEARLY DISCLOSES THE CLAIMED APPARATUS.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUL 1 2 2005

Inventor(s)	John R. Fredlund, et al	
Scrial No.	US 09/213,169	0.15
Paper Submitted	Notice of Appeal	SEP 2 2 2003 6
Sender (initial)	Frank Pincelli/djw	BADRAL REPORT
Docket No.	78685X/F-P	